



# Vetenskaplig publicering en snårig djungel

Margareta Fathli, KTH, [fathli@kth.se](mailto:fathli@kth.se)



# 2004

83% avhandlingar OA (KTH)  
15-20000 tskr (WoS/Scopus)  
3000 OA tskr (DOAJ)  
5 % total OA i tskr (globalt)  
Ett par miljoner SEK för OA  
300 -500 milj SEK pren.

# 2024

98 % avhandlingar OA (KTH)  
33-40000 tskr (WoS/Scopus)  
18000 OA-tskr (DOAJ)  
60 % total OA i tskr (globalt)  
500 milj – 1 miljard SEK för OA  
1 miljard SEK pren

Obs! Siffror i väldigt runda tal.

# KTH policy för vetenskaplig publicering

- KTH verkar för att KTH:s forskning skall synliggöras i största möjliga utsträckning både för det internationella vetenskapssamfundet och för samhället i övrigt.
- KTH:s forskare uppmanas att publicera sina forskningsresultat i ledande internationella vetenskapliga tidskrifter eller genom annan kvalitetsgranskad utgivning.
- KTH:s forskare bör sträva efter att publicera i fritt tillgängliga tidskrifter eller öppna arkiv, så kallad open access. Detta kan ske genom originalpublicering i välrenommerade tidskrifter som tillämpar open access, eller genom parallellpublicering i KTH:s publikationsdatabas [DiVA](#). Dock måste forskaren säkerställa att parallellpubliceringen inte strider mot eventuellt avtal mellan forskaren och förlaget. KTH:s forskare bör undvika att ingå avtal med tidsskriftsförlag i vilket förlaget begränsar möjligheterna till open access-publicering.
- Avhandlingar, examensarbeten och andra publikationer som utges av KTH skall publiceras i DiVA i den mån det inte möter upphovsrättsliga eller andra hinder.
- Bibliografiska uppgifter för publikationer som skrivits i forskarens egenskap av KTH-anställd skall registreras i DiVA.
- KTH Royal Institute of Technology skall anges som affilierad vid all internationell publicering som sker i forskarens egenskap av KTH-anställd. Även skola, avdelning eller liknande skall anges i enlighet med anvisningarna i [KTH:s Grafiska profil](#).
- KTH:s forskare uppmanas även att medverka till populärvetenskapliga forskningspresentationer.

# Open Access




# Olika vägar till Open Access

Guld  
Grön  
Hybrid  
(Bronze)  
Diamond/ Platinum




# Olika versioner av artikeln

- Pre print – obearbetat manuskript ( submitted version)
- Post print – granskat men oformaterat ( accepted version)
- Camera ready
- Published version - Print version/version of record



# CC BY – Creative Commons





# Predatory publishers

- DOAJ
- Web of Science Master Journals List
- Editorial Board
- OASPA
- Undvik att nappa på email
- Kolla upp metrics och data






# Att välja tidskrift

- Skulle du själv läsa den?
- Var publicerar sig dina kolleger (Kolla Diva)
- Tidskriftsväljare (verktyg)
- Journal Citation Report
- Bison (OA-tskr)
- DOAJ

# Think Check Submit



The screenshot shows the homepage of the Think Check Submit website. At the top, there is a navigation bar with three main buttons: 'THINK' (red with a white exclamation mark), 'CHECK' (orange with a white checkmark), and 'SUBMIT' (green with a white right-pointing arrow). To the right of these buttons are links for 'Books & Chapters', 'Journals', 'Resources', 'News', and 'About'. Below the navigation bar, the main heading reads 'Identify trusted publishers for your research'. Underneath this heading is a paragraph: 'Through a range of **tools and practical resources**, this international, cross-sector initiative aims to **educate researchers, promote integrity, and build trust in credible research and publications.**' To the right of the text is a graphic of a tablet displaying a 'CHECK' section with a list of questions: 'Reference this list for your chosen publisher to check if it is trusted. Do you or your colleagues know the publisher?' followed by five questions, each with a green checkmark icon. At the bottom of the page, there are two dark blue buttons with white text and right-pointing arrows: 'Books & Chapters' and 'Journals'. The browser's address bar shows 'https://thinkchecksubmit.org' and the Windows taskbar at the bottom displays the time as 21:36 on 2023-10-17.

**THINK** **CHECK** **SUBMIT** Books & Chapters Journals Resources News About

## Identify trusted publishers for your research

Through a range of **tools and practical resources**, this international, cross-sector initiative aims to **educate researchers, promote integrity, and build trust in credible research and publications.**

**Books & Chapters** **Journals**

**CHECK**  
Reference this list for your chosen publisher to check if it is trusted.  
Do you or your colleagues know the publisher?

- Have you read any books or chapters from this publisher before?
- Is it easy to discover the latest books distributed by this publisher?
- Is it clear how they distribute the books?
- Can you tell what formats the books are available in and what price levels?
- Do the academic editors have a proven record in your field?



# arXiv, bioRxiv medRxiv

The screenshot shows the arXiv.org website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the Cornell University logo and a search bar. Below the navigation bar, the text "arXiv.org" is prominently displayed. A sidebar on the left contains a "Subject search and browse" section with "Physics" selected, and a "COVID-19 Quick Links" section with links to "arXiv" and "medRxiv and bioRxiv". The main content area features the "bioRxiv" logo, the Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory logo, and a "Subject Areas" dropdown menu. The "medRxiv" logo is also visible, along with the text "THE PREPRINT SERVER FOR HEALTH SCIENCES".

Caution: Preprints are preliminary reports of work that have not been certified by peer review. They should not be relied on to guide clinical practice or health-related behavior and should not be reported in news media as established information.

COVID-19 SARS-CoV-2 preprints from medRxiv and bioRxiv

Subject Areas

# Massor med rXiv !!



Figure 1. The 25 servers backed by the Open Science Framework

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_preprint\\_repositories](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_preprint_repositories)



# Att fundera över:

- Hur få ett rättvist och hållbart system kring vetenskaplig publicering?
- Hur få bort predatory publishers eller fusk kring publicering?
- Vad är faran eller fördelen med preprintpublicering?
- Utvärdering och ranking?
- Hur skulle man kunna finansiera och underhålla hållbar Diamond OA?